

SG meeting NOTES 3rd April 2017 at 12 pm at Phil Sandell's home.

Present: Phil Sandell. Helen Papworth. Tony Dawson. Richard Cass. Jill Parker. Carolyn Henegan. Stephanie Bennett. Jon Payne. Graham Hopton. Irene Ward.

1. **Introduction** Version 5, (13 pages) reviewed, refining the explanation of how the Neighbourhood Plan was formed.
2. **Sites** Considerations- we were all reminded of our personal interest., as everyone of us knows at least one landowner involved.

Sites – response to whether would accept a Rural Exception Site?

(25) - No (not financially viable). (28) - Yes (17) - Yes (20) - Awaiting. Will know by Friday.
(5) - Awaiting (19) - Yes, but HP request that owner confirms his “acceptance of an exception site of 10 or less houses on his land” (14a) - Helen to follow up – No (HP) (L5 - No, Phil to reply to landowner) (14b and L5) – Proposal they are removed from general consideration for an exception sites, that at a later stage we could possibly consider a policy for a single dwelling exception. (HP subsequently advised by Sally Chapman that this would not be possible – would be personalising the planning system) (ALP 122) - No (ALP 124) - No (L9) - **Stephanie to confirm** - No (SB) 24a - Stephanie awaiting answer to an email. Yes (SB)
6 - Waiting (same as plot 5). (18) - Yes (10) / (11) - No (NLP479) -No- (SB confirmed)

Proposal to SG members to vote at next meeting: looking for 5 sites if possible to supply our Housing need_(17,19,20 are likely to be “IN”) Awaiting information from other sites to determine the other one or two sites

SG meeting NOTES 10th April 2017 at 10am -12 pm at Helen's home.

Present : Stephanie Bennett, Jonathan Payne, Irene Ward, Richard Cass, Jill Parker, Shirley Mullen, Helen Papworth

Sites Considerations- all landowners had now confirmed their willingness (or otherwise) to have a Rural Exception Site on their site- only six had said “yes”. (Sites 17,18,19,20,24a and 28)

After much discussion, sites 24a and 18 were omitted as judged to be out in open countryside, and the least sustainable of the six sites.

By a vote of 6 for, 1 against, it **was agreed that sites 17,19,20 and 28 be put forward as allocated sites for a Rural Exception Site** (HP wishes it recorded that she voted against this distribution, because of the potential substantial increase of dwellings % wise in the small settlement of Ickwell)

It was felt that with the number of dwellings already granted planning approval and waiting to be built in the parish (~60) that this total of 100 houses (4x10 allocated sites,[plus 60 already approved) would make a significant contribution to both the requirement for housing in the CBC area, but also nationally., whilst being appropriate development is sixe within the parish.

HP - would landowners use our list of NP sites and the sustainability evidence to try and get market housing approved, whilst CBC still short of its 5 Year Housing Supply.

(Note from HP- this situation has now changed, with CBC's announcement on April 18 th that it has met its 5 year Land Supply, and is pressing for a buffer of 5% (instead of 20%).

The meeting arranged for Wednesday 12th April at 7.15 pm at Phil's home was cancelled, whilst HP and SB put together the proposed text and policies for these Sites Allocations.